

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/812,170 03/30/2004 TSG-033-025 Tadahiro Hiramoto 3334 **EXAMINER** 20374 7590 03/02/2005 KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK WINSTON, RANDALL O **SUITE 710** PAPER NUMBER 900 17TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 1654

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>						
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		71	
		10/812,1	70	HIRAMOTO ET AL.	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit			
		Randall V		1654	·		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no every reply within the startiod will apply and watute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	0 March 2004					
2a)∏	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	-	•	•	• •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	et(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) o of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. er No(s)/Mail Date <u>0304</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/812,170

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 4 recites the indefinite term "high boiling point." The metes and bounds of the above term cannot be clearly delineated, as the specification fails to set forth the metes and bounds of what is encompassed.

All other claims depend directly or indirectly from the rejected claims and are, therefore, also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reasons set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Tamaoki et al. (JP 407025764A, abstract).

Applicant claims a method of inhibiting the growth of bacteria comprising contacting the bacteria with an antibacterial agent (i.e. food or oral care product) comprising a coumarin analogue.

Tamaoki et al. anticipate the claimed invention (see, abstract) because Tamakoi et al. teach an antibacterial agent comprising a coumarin analogue (i.e. 5-geranoxypsoralen or 5-geranoxy-7-methoxycoumarin or 8-geranoxypsoraleni, also please note that Tamaoki et al.'s antibacterial agent is also extracted from the pericarp, the peel, of a citrus fruit) that would inherently inhibit the growth of bacterial when contacted and would inherently be a food or oral care product because the coumarin analogue are derived from a food product of a citrus fruit lemon. Therefore, the reference is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

Please note that the patentability of a product (claims 2-4) does not depend upon the method of production. If the product in a product by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, then the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process. (see, e.g. MPEP 2113).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,170

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Man J. 69

Page 4

SUSAN COE PRIM

